IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

|		
ERCOLE A. MIRARCHI,	**:**	
	:	
Plaintiff,	**:**	
v.	**:**	**Civil Action No. 21-cv-00126**
	:	
KATHY BOOCKVAR, et al.,	**:**	**Judge: HONORABLE JEFFREY L. SCHMEHL**
	:	
Defendants.	**:**	

57th SUBMISSION TO COURT UNDER - 18 U.S. CODE § 2382

Plaintiff, Ercole Mirarchi, respectfully submits to the Court, under **18 U.S. CODE § 2382**, more calculations (developed in real-time) to further support the ones he previously submitted to the Court, to prove his Treason and Coup d'état findings.

And for reference, below is a list of Plaintiff's past filings for this litigation that contain calculations (not including this submission), which should be reviewed by the Court, in order, like chapters in a book, to understand why at this point in time, he can assert to the Court *it is now a **101%** certainty the vote totals that came out of our 2020 Elections were configured using math processes*, which can only run on a *supercomputer*.

Doc. No.	Dates		Description
26	*Entered:*	03/04/2021	Amended Complaint (Final)
38	*Entered:*	05/10/2021	Response to Motion
46	*Entered:*	05/20/2021	Reply to Response to Motion
48	*Entered:*	05/25/2021	Response to Motion
55	*Entered:*	06/07/2021	Response to Motion
56	*Entered:*	06/07/2021	Amended Document
59	*Entered:*	06/14/2021	Response
62	*Entered:*	07/16/2021	EXHIBIT
63	*Entered:*	08/02/2021	Response
65	*Entered:*	08/05/2021	EXHIBIT
66	*Entered:*	08/11/2021	EXHIBIT

67	*Entered:*	08/12/2021	🌐 EXHIBIT
68	*Entered:*	08/13/2021	🌐 EXHIBIT
69	*Entered:*	08/16/2021	🌐 EXHIBIT
70	*Entered:*	08/16/2021	🌐 EXHIBIT
71	*Entered:*	08/17/2021	🌐 EXHIBIT
72	*Entered:*	08/20/2021	🌐 EXHIBIT
73	*Entered:*	08/23/2021	🌐 EXHIBIT
74	*Entered:*	08/23/2021	🌐 EXHIBIT
75	*Entered:*	08/23/2021	🌐 EXHIBIT
76	*Entered:*	08/23/2021	🌐 EXHIBIT
77	*Entered:*	08/24/2021	🌐 EXHIBIT
78	*Entered:*	08/26/2021	🌐 EXHIBIT
79	*Entered:*	08/30/2021	🌐 EXHIBIT
80	*Entered:*	08/30/2021	🌐 EXHIBIT
81	*Entered:*	08/31/2021	🌐 EXHIBIT
82	*Entered:*	09/01/2021	🌐 EXHIBIT
83	*Entered:*	09/02/2021	🌐 EXHIBIT
81	*Replaced:*	09/07/2021	🌐 EXHIBIT
84	*Entered:*	09/02/2021	🌐 EXHIBIT
85	*Entered:*	09/13/2021	🌐 EXHIBIT
88	*Entered:*	09/14/2021	🌐 EXHIBIT
89	*Entered:*	09/16/2021	🌐 EXHIBIT
89	*Replaced:*	09/16/2021	🌐 EXHIBIT
90	*Entered:*	09/20/2021	🌐 EXHIBIT
91	*Entered:*	09/21/2021	🌐 EXHIBIT
92	*Entered:*	09/23/2021	🌐 EXHIBIT
93	*Entered:*	09/28/2021	🌐 EXHIBIT
94	*Entered:*	10/05/2021	🌐 EXHIBIT
95	*Entered:*	10/08/2021	🌐 EXHIBIT
96	*Entered:*	10/08/2021	🌐 EXHIBIT
97	*Entered:*	10/12/2021	🌐 EXHIBIT
98	*Entered:*	10/18/2021	🌐 EXHIBIT
99	*Entered:*	10/20/2021	🌐 EXHIBIT
100	*Entered:*	10/21/2021	🌐 EXHIBIT
101	*Entered:*	10/22/2021	🌐 EXHIBIT
102	*Entered:*	10/25/2021	🌐 EXHIBIT
103	*Entered:*	10/27/2021	🌐 EXHIBIT

104	*Entered:*	10/28/2021	EXHIBIT - A to ECF No. 103.
105	*Entered:*	10/28/2021	EXHIBIT
106	*Entered:*	11/01/2021	EXHIBIT
107	*Entered:*	11/02/2021	EXHIBIT
108	*Entered:*	11/03/2021	EXHIBIT
109	*Entered:*	11/05/2021	EXHIBIT
110	*Entered:*	11/08/2021	EXHIBIT
111	*Entered:*	11/09/2021	EXHIBIT
112	*Entered:*	11/10/2021	EXHIBIT
113	*Entered:*	11/12/2021	EXHIBIT
114	*Entered:*	11/12/2021	EXHIBIT
115	*Entered:*	11/15/2021	EXHIBIT
116	*Entered:*	11/17/2021	EXHIBIT
117	*Entered:*	11/18/2021	EXHIBIT
118 119	This filing, ECF No. 118, was intially submitted on 11/19/2021, then *revised* and updated on 11/22/2021, and listed as ECF No. 119.		
120	*Entered:*	11/24/2021	EXHIBIT
121	*Entered:*	11/29/2021	EXHIBIT
122	*Entered:*	11/29/2021	EXHIBIT
123	*Entered:*	11/30/2021	EXHIBIT
124	This filing, which has yet to be assinged a ECF Case No.		

1)   Recall, on 11/03/2020, at 11:09 PM EST, the Cable News Network, CNN,

reported Trump/Pence having **1,690,589 votes**, Biden/Harris having **1,252,537 votes**, for

a total of **2,943,126 votes** between them in Pennsylvania's 2020 Presidential Election.

Seconds later, at 11:10 PM, CNN reported Trump/Pence having **1,670,631 votes**,

Biden/Harris having **1,272,495 votes**, for a total of **2,943,126 votes** between them.

At the time this change in votes occurred on TV, the end result was **19,958 votes**

was subtracted from Trump/Pence's vote count, at the same time **19,958 votes** was being

added to Bidden/Harris's vote count, resulting in a **((1.690589-1.252537) - (1.670631-**

1.272495)) = **39,916 vote** decrease in the number of votes Trump/Pence had over

Biden/Harris in Pennsylvania's 2020 Presidential Election at that specific point in time.

2)   The 1st calculation listed below has a result numerically equal to a variation of the

Cubit Measure, <u>*Pi minus the Golden Ratio Squared*</u>, which Plaintiff brings attention to

for the reason the *number format* used for *Pi* and the *Golden Ratio Squared*, as well as

their difference, in the calculations shown below matches the *number format* he came

across for the *constant e* in the calculations listed in <u>ECF No. 96, Paragraphs 6 and 7</u>,

which supports that *number format*, as well as the logic used in the calculations listed in

that submission, was devised to flip votes from Trump/Pence to Biden/Harris during the

tabulation/counting process taking place in Pennsylvania's 2020 Presidential Election.

$((10-(\underline{SQRT(PI())}*2.943126))^{\wedge}4) =$	523.55**6**	, is numerically equal to the Cubit Measure, Pi minus the Golden Ratio Squared, expressed as (3141**.**59-2618.034) = **523.556.**
$((10-(\underline{(3141.59-2618.034)^{\wedge}(1/4)}))/2.943126) =$	1.7724538**5**	, is equal to the square root of Pi, SQRT(3**.**145926…) = **1.7724538<u>5</u>.**
$((10-(\underline{(3141.59-2618.034)^{\wedge}(1/4)}))/\underline{SQRT(PI())}) =$	2.94312**6**	, is equal to (1.690589+1.252537) or (1.670631+1.272495) = **2.943126**.
$(((3141.59-2618.034)^{\wedge}(1/4))+(\underline{2.943126*SQRT(PI())})) =$	**10**.000000	, is equal to **"<u>10</u>"**, which is a dummy value that represents 100% of value**.**

3)   This next calculation is from <u>ECF No. 96, Paragraphs 6 and 7.</u>

$((2.943126/(\underline{(1.690589-1.252537)}-\underline{(1.670631-1.272495)}))^{\wedge}2) =$	2718.2**8**	, which is numerically equal to the Mathematical Constant **"e"**, **2.71<u>828</u>…**, which is commonly referred to as: **"2.7"**, **"2.72"**, **"2.718"**, **"2.7183"**, or **"2.71<u>8</u>28".**

4)   The next two calculations consolidate the second calculation listed in <u>Paragraph 2</u>,

and the one listed in <u>Paragraph 3</u> to show how they both interconnect to equal the number

of votes associated with Adams County PA's suspect vote flip defined in <u>Paragraph 1</u>.

$((((10-\underline{(3141.59-2618.034)^{\wedge}(1/4)}))/SQRT(3.14159))/SQRT(\underline{2718.28*2})) =$	0.03991**6**	((1.690589-1.252537)-(1.670631-1.272495)) = **0.039916**.
$(((((10-\underline{(3141.59-2618.034)^{\wedge}(1/4)}))/SQRT(3.14159))/SQRT(\underline{2718.28*2}))/2) =$	0.01995**8**	(((1.690589-1.252537)-(1.670631-1.272495))/2) = **0.019958**.

5)   The first two calculations below are variations of the ones listed in <u>Paragraph 4</u>,

only the full value for each math constant, multiplied by 1000, is used in place of their

commonly referred to values, to show how the suspect *vote flip* amounts that came out of

the tabulation/counting process that took place during Pennsylvania's 2020 Election, can

be replicated in a calculation using all geometric and rate of change math constants/logic.

$(((10-(((PI()*1000)-2618.03399)^{(1/4)}))/SQRT(PI()))/SQRT((EXP(1)*1000)*2)) =$	0.039916	$((1.690589-1.252537)-(1.670631-1.272495)) = \mathbf{0.039916}$.
$((((10-(((PI()*1000)-2618.03399)^{(1/4)}))/SQRT(PI()))/SQRT((EXP(1)*1000)*2))/2) =$	0.019958	$(((1.690589-1.252537)-(1.670631-1.272495))/2) = \mathbf{0.019958}.$

$((1.670631-1.272495)+(((10-(((PI()*1000)-2618.03399)^{(1/4)}))/SQRT(PI()))/SQRT((EXP(1)*1000)*2))) =$	0.438052	, equals Trump/ Pence 11/3/2020, 11:09 PM lead over Biden/Harris (1.690589-1.252537) = **0.438052**, shown on live TV, CNN**.**
$((1.690589-1.252537)-(((10-(((PI()*1000)-2618.03399)^{(1/4)}))/SQRT(PI()))/SQRT((EXP(1)*1000)*2))) =$	0.398136	, equals Trump/Pence 11/3/2020, 11:10 PM lead over Biden/Harris (1.670631-1.272495) = **0.398136**, shown on live TV, CNN**.**

6)   Additionally, recall, ECF No. 96, Paragraph 10, shows the time period that elapsed

between Adams County PA file update, and when CNN reported that update to the Public,

was about 20 seconds. This proves CNN had instant access to Pennsylvania's Election

results, at the county level, bypassing State and NY-Times/Edison intermediaries, which

makes the fact-checkers explanation, shown at ECF No. 96, Paragraph 9, untrue. And

recognize, no audit is necessary to prove this, as the county timestamp certifies when a

change was made to Adams County's file, see ECF No. 96, Paragraph 10, and CNN's

airing of this change, ECF No. 96, Paragraph 2, can be used to certify the time CNN

received Adams County PA's Election results to air, which no pun on words can change.

And know, uncovering what occurred during this 20 second time period will expose

a key part of the math process engineered to manipulate and configure the vote totals that

were coming out of the tabulation processes for Pennsylvania's 2020 Presidential Election.

7)   Lastly, recall, ECF No. 90, Paragraph 7, which the calculation listed below is from, shows how the suspect values hidden under the guise of the untrue reports at issue in that *loosely related matter* was used as a target or guide value in the engineered math process that manipulated Adams County, PA 11/03/2020 vote results for Trump/Pence, 1,670,631 votes, and Biden/Harris, 1,272,495 votes, that was shown live on CNN, at 11:10 PM EST. And to resay, one subpoena to Marshall & Swift requesting the replication and details concerning these records, to confirm they are untrue, will prove this claim, and more.

- ***Multiply by "10", or "0.1"***, is a *decimal point maneuver* used to bring a value in a calculation back in line, so it can continue on, which was explained in detail in ECF No. 26, paragraphs 29, 36, 37, 39, 40, and 41.

$$(1/\text{SQRT}((1.670631-1.272495)*10)) = 0.501169$$

, equates to the **"501170"** and **"501171"** Reconstruction Cost values hidden under the guise of the untrue MSB Express Report, Seneca 1395-1396, which in reality will be a target or guide value of some type used in these mathematically engineered schemes to reach a predetermined outcome.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED,

/s ERCOLE MIRARCHI, prose